THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.14649 of 2007

Dated:10.07.2007

Between:

Smt.K.Andalamma, W/o.Late Venkateswara Rao.

…Petitioner

and

Govt.of A.P., rep.by its Prl.Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings,
Saifabad, Hyderabad, and another.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.14649 of 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner is allegedly pattadar of land in Survey Nos.174 (Ac.0.29 guntas), 177/A (Acs.2.06 guntas), 179 (Acs.4.27 guntas) and 180 (Acs.3.06 guntas) situated at B.Madharam Village of Suryapet Mandal in Nalgonda District. M/s.Aleti Poolamma, Kale Manjula, Kokkireni Rama Krishna and Kondapally Badramma filed applications before the Mandal Revenue Officer, Suryapet, alleging that the petitioner sold the land under sada sale deed. The Mandal Revenue Officer passed orders regularizing the sale and issued Forms XIII(A) and XIII(B) under the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989. Feeling aggrieved by the same, the petitioner preferred an appeal under Section 5-B of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 (for short 'the Act'), before the Revenue Divisional Officer, Suryapet, being D/922/2005. The same was dismissed on 18.11.2006. The petitioner statedly filed a revision under Section 9 of the Act before the second respondent in November 2006, which is pending. In this Writ Petition the petitioner seeks a direction to the second respondent to dispose of the matter expeditiously.

Section 9 of the Act confers revisional jurisdiction on the Collector/Joint Collector, but it does not prescribe any time schedule for disposing of any revision petition. Further no time limit is also prescribed for filing revision. The Joint Collector is empowered to exercise revisional jurisdiction either *suo motu* or on an application made to him. In such a situation, in exercise of powers conferred under Article 226 of the

Constitution of India, this Court cannot prescribe any time limit within which the Joint Collector should dispose of the revision petitions.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is an aged lady of 76 years and requires expeditious consideration. If that be so, the petitioner may approach the Joint Collector with such a request by filing appropriate application. This Writ Petition cannot be entertained.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

10.07.2007
vs